



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Lees, Alexander Charles, Rosenberg, Kenneth V, Ruiz-Gutierrez, Viviana, Marsden, Stuart, Schulenberg, Thomas S and Rodewald, Amanda D (2020) A roadmap to identifying and filling shortfalls in Neotropical ornithology. *The Auk*, 137 (4). ISSN 0004-8038

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626362/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Oxford University Press (OUP)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/auk/ukaa048>

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

AUK Special Feature: Neotropical Ornithology

A roadmap to identifying and filling shortfalls in Neotropical Ornithology

Alexander Charles Lees^{1,2*}, Kenneth V. Rosenberg^{2,3}, Viviana Ruiz-Gutierrez², Stuart Marsden¹, Thomas Schulenberg² and Amanda D. Rodewald²

¹Department of Natural Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M1 5GD, UK

²Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, 159 Sapsucker Woods Rd, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

³American Bird Conservancy, 4301 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 451, Washington, DC 20008, USA

*Corresponding author: alexander.lees@mmu.ac.uk

Abstract

Securing the long-term resilience of the world's most speciose avifauna, that of the Neotropics, requires spatially and temporally explicit data to inform decisions. We examine gaps in our knowledge of the region's avifauna through the lens of the biodiversity shortfall concept: the gaps between realized knowledge and complete knowledge. This framework serves as a useful tool to take stock of the last 25 years of Neotropical ornithological work since the untimely death of Ted Parker. Here, we highlight seven key shortfalls: taxonomy, distribution, abundance, evolutionary patterns, abiotic tolerances, species traits, and biotic interactions. We then propose an eighth – and new – 'Parkerian' shortfall that reflects a lack of basic natural history knowledge key both to understanding how species might respond to environmental challenges. Bridging this shortfall will help reverse declines by informing reintroduction, recovery network, and habitat restoration efforts. We discuss the challenges imposed by each shortfall and how strategies such as citizen-science initiatives and technological advances can either remedy or mitigate the uncertainty they generate.

"I saw with regret, that whilst the number of accurate instruments was daily increasing, we were still ignorant"

26 — Alexander von Humboldt, Personal Narrative of Travels to the Equinoctial Regions of
27 America, During the Year 1799-1804 - Volume 1

28 *"Everything Ted saw in the field, he wrote down or dictated onto a tape; recording even*
29 *seemingly inconsequential details about birds was an obsession for him. Ted wrote notes so*
30 *that he would not forget what he had observed. ... He was constantly searching for patterns,*
31 *in distribution, foraging behavior, vocalizations, flock dynamics, in almost any aspect of*
32 *birds that attracted his attention"*

33 —Murray Gell-Mann, Gell-Mann, M. 1994. The Quark and the Jaguar. WH Freeman, New
34 York.

35 *Keywords: biodiversity shortfalls, tropical birds, taxonomy, distribution, abundance,*
36 *evolutionary patterns, abiotic tolerance, species traits, biotic interactions*

37 Understanding the extent of our gaps in scientific knowledge requires identifying that which
38 we do not know; recognizing these gaps also helps researchers ask questions that can best
39 advance science. Birds may be the best known of all terrestrial biota, but data scarcity still
40 plagues ornithology and Neotropical ornithology, in particular. The last 25 years, since Ted
41 Parker's untimely death, have seen unprecedented changes in data collection, analysis, and
42 availability. While the application of big-data approaches across large spatial, taxonomic, and
43 temporal scales can fuel discovery, further advances are likely to be constrained by our
44 inability to identify and prioritize research needs, as well as by a lack of basic knowledge
45 about Neotropical birds. Shortfalls in our knowledge of biodiversity represent the gaps
46 between realized knowledge and sufficient knowledge at the present day. Hortal et al. (2015)
47 grouped biodiversity shortfalls into seven major domains related to systematics,
48 biogeography, population biology, evolution, functional ecology, abiotic tolerances, and
49 ecological interactions, combinations of which are needed to support effective conservation

actions. Here, we discuss the importance and magnitude of each of these shortfalls relative to our knowledge of Neotropical birds, highlighting recent advances and proposing research priorities. In addition, we propose a new, eighth shortfall to specifically address the tremendous gap in basic natural history knowledge that still exists for a majority of Neotropical bird species—a gap that Ted Parker spent much of his life attempting to fill (Remsen 1997).

Systematics Domain: The Linnaean shortfall

Linnaean shortfalls (Lomolino 2004) represent the gap between the number of species formally described by scientists and the number of species that actually exist. In terms of taxonomic knowledge, ornithologists are fortunate relative to scientists working with other taxa, given that estimates suggest that more than 95% of avian species have been described (Mora et al. 2011, Scheffers et al. 2012). However, recent discoveries suggest that this estimate may have been too optimistic for the Neotropics. The race to describe the region's bird species reached its greatest intensity in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, often based on patchy specimen data from a taxon's geographic range and the methods were invariably pre-quantitative. A subsequent and largely unquestioned spate of 'lumping' of these forms, under the auspices of the polytypic 'biological' species concept (Mayr 1942, 1963,) has significantly impeded taxonomic progress (e.g., Sangster 2014 see the Darwinian shortfall and the revision of alpha taxonomy within polyphyletic species groups). A recent morphological and genetic assay by Barrowclough et al. (2016) contends that avian evolutionary diversity has been substantially underestimated, and that, under a phylogenetic species concept, we would recognize approximately 2 to 2.5 times the current number of biological species. In this vein, Navarro-Sigüenza & Peterson (2004) proposed an alternative phylogenetic species taxonomy for the Mexican avifauna (which in the Neotropical realm includes the Yucatán Peninsula and southern lowlands, and most of the east and west

75 coastlines and tip of the Baja California Peninsula) that resulted in splits affecting 135
76 ‘biological species’, resulting in 323 new phylogenetic species of which 122 were new
77 national endemics, increasing the national bird list by 18%.

78 Taxonomy does impact conservation efforts; although populations tend to be their focus,
79 taxonomic designations at the level of species can profoundly affect conservation agendas
80 and priorities (Hazevoet 1996, Peterson and Navarro-Sigüenza 1999), such that achieving a
81 consistent taxonomy is critical to bird conservation (Bates & Demos 2001). Rather than
82 resolve the persistent discord about species limits, critics argue that the widespread adoption
83 of more liberal and readily quantifiable definitions of species, such as the phylogenetic
84 species concept, would result in both an unmanageable number of names (Zachos 2013) and
85 issues of diagnosability that might vary greatly among taxonomists (Tobias et al. 2010).

86 Others assert that doubling or even tripling the number of Neotropical birds might not be
87 unmanageable given the numbers of species in most other groups of organisms
88 (Barrowclough et al. 2016), and quantitative methods abound to define diagnosability at the
89 morphological, signalling, and genetic levels (Sangster 2014).

90 Despite an arguably conservative approach to taxonomy, new species continue to be
91 described on an annual basis from the Neotropical region, even from relatively well-
92 inventoried areas (e.g., Fig. 1a). Between 1960 and 2016, 147 new species were described
93 from South America and a further seven from Central America and Mexico (Brewer 2018).

94 Ted Parker himself authored descriptions of three new bird species and seven subspecies,
95 some of which may yet be elevated to species status in the future. Inspired by Parker, a
96 number of his contemporaries have gone on to discover additional species. Most notable was
97 a recent landmark volume in the Handbook of the Birds of the World series (de Hoyo et al.
98 2013) which published the descriptions of 15 new species from Amazonia (Whitney & Cohn-
99 Haft 2013). This sudden rush of new Amazonian forms, not all of which have been formally

recognised by all taxonomic bodies, reflects a broader changing picture of our understanding of the importance of acoustic (Remsen and Schulenberg 1997) and molecular tools in informing avian taxonomy (Remsen 2005). In most cases this re-evaluation of species assemblages will be reflected by redefining species limits, resulting in a significant increase in taxonomic ‘splitting’, but a smaller fraction of this unrecognised diversity will likely stem from completely undescribed new taxa.

Though visiting remote or inaccessible regions remains a priority for finding new species, the recent spate of discoveries in relatively well-visited regions reminds us that we must remain diligent everywhere, especially in megadiverse regions which have suffered extensive habitat loss. Hotspots for new species in recent years have included the Andean foothills and outlying ridges, Western Amazonian interfluvial regions ((Whitney & Cohn-Haft 2013: Fig 1b), and remarkably still the Brazilian Atlantic Forest (Lees & Pimm 2015). That species could remain undetected in some of these regions given the relative ease of access and long history of ornithological fieldwork is more a reflection of the small population sizes and hyper-fragmented habitats of many of these new species that now stand on the brink of global extinction (Lees & Pimm 2015). Finding these last species is thus a critical task for conservation biologists.

Sensational rediscoveries such as that of Kaempfer's Woodpecker (*Celeus obrieni*) in 2006 (Fig. 1c), formerly known only from the type specimen collected in 1926, and now known to occur in bamboo groves over a huge swath of eastern Brazil, attests to the ease with which even charismatic species may elude ornithologists (Leite et al. 2013, Dornas et al. 2014). Major range extensions of difficult-to-detect species like owls, nightjars, and rails offer hope that these families may still harbour undescribed taxa. Some species may be forever lost to discovery, and we also need to redouble our efforts to look for historic continental extinctions by searching carefully through museum drawers and hunting for subfossils. The Cryptic

Treehunter (*Cichlocolaptes mazarbarnetti*), for example, was described from museum specimens, seemingly after its global extinction (Mazar-Barnett and Buzzetti 2014, Lees & Pimm 2015). The marine realm may also continue to be a source of new taxa, especially among cryptic Procellariiformes (Harrison et al. 2013) which may again be spurred on by advances in the use of DNA and acoustic analyses.

Filling the Linnaean shortfall will require increased financial support for alpha taxonomy work and associated, collaborative expeditions to inaccessible or previously unsampled Neotropical locations. Underpinning these efforts must be stronger peer-recognition of the field of taxonomy, which is often viewed as ‘low impact’ in academic assessments (Agnarsson & Kuntner 2007), and also much greater support in general for the curation and use of museum collections associated with universities and other research institutions. Strengthening partnerships and increasing capacity for natural history collections within Latin America will be especially important as incoming generations of field ornithologists and students are well poised to discover the next wave of avian species.

Biogeographic domain – The Wallacean shortfall

Wallacean shortfalls represent gaps in our knowledge concerning geographic range limits and predicted distributions (Lomolino 2004), which remain a fundamental challenge to biogeographers and conservation biologists alike. Historically, in the absence of systematic surveys, general range maps were usually constructed from presence-only data from museum specimens and opportunistic citations of species in the technical and scientific literature (Anderson 2012). Wallacean shortfalls remain especially pervasive in the Neotropics given the inaccessibility of remote regions such as mountain ranges or corners of Amazonia that also sustain Linnaean shortfalls. Although ornithologists and birders are improving our understanding of coarse-scale ranges for many species – as evidenced by the relatively

frequent discovery of major range extensions – our knowledge of specific habitat associations and derived distributions remains poor for most species and regions (Engler et al. 2017) and especially in the tropics (Orihuela-Torres et al. 2020).

Incomplete knowledge of physiognomic (e.g., elevation) and habitat associations results in general polygons as the only form of representing a species' range and distribution, in contrast to the detailed products being generated for some North American species across the full annual cycle (Fink et al. 2018). Although efforts exist to update these maps using more recent observations (e.g., Map of Life <https://mol.org/>), these maps are likely to fail to represent the true geographic extent of occurrence and abundance across a species' distributional range. Most species may also have disjunct or patchy distributions that are poorly depicted in current range maps (Diamond 1980). Because Wallacean shortfalls are exaggerated by spatio-temporal biases in data collection (e.g., between wet and dry seasons in Amazonia when regions can become difficult to access), they are more challenging to fill than Linnaean shortfalls. These seasonal biases make understanding phenomena such as migration particularly complicated and often reinforce the pervasive assumption of residency which may mask partial and altitudinal migration across the region (e.g., Lees & Martin 2014, Lees 2016, Fig. 1c; but see also Areta and Juhant 2019). Indeed, the distribution of many common Nearctic–Neotropical migrants, such as the Black-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus erythrophthalmus*) and Veery (*Catharus fuscescens*), remain poorly described during non-breeding seasons, which not only can lead to overestimation of true range size (Remsen 2001), but causes us to overlook inter-seasonal dynamics and the importance of multiple regions for migratory species (Heckscher et al. 2011, 2015, Renfrew et al. 2013). Ultimately, these knowledge gaps can seriously undermine our ability to predict the impacts of potential threats and identify habitat needs for species through their annual life cycle, including migratory stop-over sites that might be critical to sustain populations (Bayly et al. 2018).

174 Cottee-Jones et al. (2016) designated these issues with migratory species the ‘movement
175 shortfall’.

176 Wallacean shortfalls do not apply to individual species alone, rather they can extend to entire
177 guilds. For example, pelagic avifauna in the Neotropics have been the subject of relatively
178 few dedicated offshore surveys, though recent work has unearthed a number of biogeographic
179 surprises (e.g., Klein et al. 2012; Lees et al. 2015). Data loggers and satellite tags hold
180 promise to substantially improve our knowledge of the non-breeding distribution of many
181 seabird species, as evidenced by the new discovery that both Desertas (*Pterodroma deserta*
182 Ramírez et al. 2013) and Zino’s (*P. madeira*; Zino et al. 2011) Petrels occur off the coast of
183 Brazil. Movement technologies have also been employed for terrestrial guilds of species and
184 have revealed, for example, the hitherto unknown wintering grounds of the Caribbean Martin
185 (*Progne dominicensis*; Perlut et al. 2017) and a North American population of Black Swift
186 (*Cypseloides niger borealis*; Beason et al. 2012), as well as new insights into migration routes
187 and timing for the more common Purple Martin (*Progne subis*) (Fraser et al. 2013). Major
188 shortfalls persist, however, for other closely related, yet difficult to identify, species in the
189 aerial insectivore guild, such as Peruvian (*Progne murphyi*) and Sinaloa (*P. sinaloae*)
190 Martins, as well as many species of Neotropical swifts.

191 Lack of basic knowledge of where and when species occur is a major obstacle for effective
192 design of biodiversity conservation strategies. Resolving all Wallacean shortfalls may be a
193 mammoth task but solutions can be simple, such as requiring increased support for
194 organizations in Neotropical countries who are interested in working in out-of-the-way
195 places. Important shortfalls might be remedied in high-priority regions, for example, by
196 schemes such as the Rapid Assessment Program (RAP) that Ted Parker designed and directed
197 for Conservation International (Remsen and Schulenberg 1997) and which was continued in
198 the Field Museum of Natural History’s Rapid Biological Inventory program

199 (<http://fm2.fieldmuseum.org/rbi/>). Importantly, RAP expeditions are collaborations between
200 North American and Neotropical experts and feed directly into capacity building and
201 conservation planning within the host countries.

202 Though tropical conservation efforts can benefit from rapid assessment programs at specific
203 sites, they are unlikely to be a panacea to remedy large data gaps across vast areas. Large-
204 scale citizen science initiatives and publicly available data repositories are now in a position
205 to fill many of these knowledge gaps on bird distributions by moving beyond collecting
206 presence-only data to collect location-specific information on species presence-absence and
207 even relative abundance. These programs vary from organically grown web resources such as
208 the Brazilian WikiAves initiative (<http://www.wikiaves.com.br/>), which has amassed over
209 2.9M bird images through 2020, to more science-driven, institutionally supported programs
210 like eBird (www.eBird.org) that has amassed nearly a billion bird records worldwide
211 (Sullivan et al. 2017), including more than 19 million media specimens available for
212 scientific study. Participation in eBird is increasing rapidly in neotropical countries, with
213 dedicated web portals (e.g., AvesAves in Mexico), data fields needed to inform species
214 distribution models (e.g., effort, location, presence-absence), embedded protocols for large-
215 scale monitoring programs (e.g., International Shorebird Survey, Latin America Program for
216 Wild Birds - PROALAS), and a network of national and regional reviewers exchanging
217 knowledge of bird distributions with a growing army of skilled observers. As an example of
218 the power of this program to collect information that is useful to predict species distributions,
219 34,000 people in 173 countries found 6,942 bird species and gathered more than 185 million
220 records during a 24-hr birding period known as Global Big Day in 2019
221 (<https://ebird.org/globalbigday>). The increased focus on data quality in citizen-science
222 programs has improved the application of these data to inform species distribution models,

improving our ability to accurately predict the extent of species occurrence at various spatial and temporal scales (Engler et al. 2017).

Species distribution models (SDMs) using climate and topography are good at predicting the potential niche/distribution of a species. However, deeper information on habitat associations, effects of fragmentation, dispersal, harvesting and other factors are needed to properly predict the realised niche/distribution of the species - this relates to other shortfalls but is important for conservation (VanDerWal et al. 2009). In addition, the uncertainty around predicted occurrence probabilities can be used to identify areas of high uncertainty where more observations are needed (Guillera-Arroita 2017). Overall, the use of existing platforms for data collection and storage (e.g., eBird and Birdtrack for birds), especially those that can provide information on both presence and absence of species at specific locations and archive verifiable media specimens, will facilitate the ability of governments and other stakeholders to use observations collected from citizen scientists, as well as increase the value of expert-led assessments such as RAP.

Population biology domain: The Prestonian Shortfall

The Prestonian shortfall reflects our lack of knowledge on spatial and temporal changes in abundance and related population dynamics (Cardoso et al. 2011). Data to estimate these state variables are more challenging to obtain than simple presence-absence data, largely due to low detection probabilities of many Neotropical bird species due to combinations of natural low population densities or sensitivity to disturbance, low vocalisation rates and structurally complex habitats which make visual detection difficult (Archaux et al. 2012, Robinson et al. 2018a). In addition, reaching survey locations may be prohibitively logistically challenging, especially in montane regions or in remote Amazonian interfluvial regions where and logistics cost may be prohibitive. These challenges are exacerbated by the

247 high costs of long-term data collection and the potential for high variability in species
248 abundance patterns that may necessitate more intensive sampling. In these respects,
249 Wallacean and Prestonian shortfalls are inextricably-linked – we often collect information not
250 just on species presence and absence, but also on relative or absolute abundance, all in one
251 survey. Sampling effort also needs to represent the full gradient of land cover classes where a
252 species can be found, in order to increase the accuracy of both distribution models and
253 abundance estimates (e.g., Moura et al 2013). In general, spatio-temporal bias and class
254 imbalance issues (e.g., too many zeros associated with rare species) related to most survey
255 data are difficult to mitigate without large sample sizes, leading to inaccurate, or at best
256 imprecise, estimates of abundance needed for many conservation planning efforts (Gaston &
257 Rodrigues 2003, Mace 2004, Robinson et al. 2018b).

258 Estimating changes in abundance is one of the costliest monitoring objectives for any
259 taxonomic group. Not surprisingly, then, estimating population size for most Neotropical
260 birds remains out of reach except for extreme cases where species are so rare that each
261 individual may be counted as, for example, the Orange-bellied Antwren (*Terenura sicki*;
262 Pereira et al. 2014, Fig 2b). The same may also be true for some colonial species restricted to
263 a relatively small number of breeding sites which may be remotely detected by satellite
264 (Fretwell et al. 2017), drone (Hodgson et al. 2017) or even kite (Delord et al. 2015). Most of
265 our information on changes in abundance comes from statistical models used to estimate
266 relative abundance and these are few in number (Buckland et al. 2008, Denes et al. 2017,
267 Gomez et al. 2017, Kuichi et al, 2018). Estimates of relative abundance can be modelled
268 across time, and, although estimates of population size are likely to be far from perfect, the
269 overall trajectory of the population can be highly informative (Robinson et al, 2018b).
270 Traditionally, estimation of population trends required standardized and optimized sampling
271 protocols, including by citizen scientists (Sauer et al, 2011), but more recent advances have

272 been made to use opportunistically collected citizen-science data to estimate trends in relative
273 abundance that can go some way towards correcting for both spatial bias and class imbalance
274 (Robinson et al. 2017). Advances in species distribution modelling have leveraged patchy and
275 sparse data (Fink et al. 2010) to estimate relative abundance across the entire range of
276 widespread species (Fig 2b) throughout their full annual cycle, and these new dynamic
277 abundance models have been shown to improve the prioritization of areas for conservation
278 (Johnston et al. 2019). Moreover, local occupancy probabilities derived from SDMs have
279 been found to be positively correlated with local abundance in a range of animal and plant
280 groups (Weber et al. 2017). Although low densities of data from many parts of the Neotropics
281 result in poor predictive performance, newer SDMs still perform well in data-poor regions,
282 such as Central America, even with relatively sparse spatial sampling coverage (Fink et al.
283 2020a).

284 Unlike the situation at temperate latitudes, there are relatively few structured, long-term
285 ornithological studies to monitor changes in relative abundance across Neotropical species
286 over time (Robinson and Curtis 2020). Such studies are necessary given that their duration
287 gives a broader overview of the minimum timeframe needed to estimate trends in abundance
288 (~ 10yrs), which can be used to make inferences on minimum viable population size (Reed et
289 al. 2003). Despite being critical for national, regional, and global species assessments (e.g.,
290 IUCN Red List), our capacity to estimate total population size for most species remains
291 constrained by a paucity of data. Museum specimens and historical data can be helpful for
292 providing a broader context to understand historic local extinctions (Kattan et al. 1994,
293 Moura et al. 2014), which may pre-date any type of monitoring efforts and provide a sense of
294 the context for current population trend estimates.

295 Although citizen science holds a great deal of promise in filling these shortfalls,
296 participants will require careful guidance from experts in wildlife population monitoring to

make sure that we not only increase the quantity of information, but also its quality. The application of best practices for collecting information, as well as the use of established sampling protocols developed to inform a broad range of statistical models (e.g., PROALAS for bird counts; Ruiz-Gutiérrez et al. 2018), will make the best use of monitoring resources. Another field with considerable potential to fill the Prestonian shortfall is automated acoustic monitoring of bird vocalisations (Leach et al. 2016). Although still in its infancy, developments in automated song recognition, falling costs of hardware, and increased interest, both from ornithologists and from other biological disciplines, will see a rapid growth in our ability to collect information on species' presence-absence, to express relative abundance as inferred from calling intensity and to detect shifts in distribution within entire bird communities across potentially vast areas (Priyadarshani et al. 2018).

Beyond understanding abundance, data on population dynamics of Neotropical species are even more costly and difficult to obtain. Although there are some notable long-term projects that have resulted in contributions of population vital rates for Neotropical species (Brawn et al, 1999, reviewed in Ruiz-Gutierrez et al. 2012), there are relatively few contributions relative to the number of long-term banding projects underway in various parts of the Neotropics. Since 2012, there have only been a handful of published studies that look at survival rates for adults (e.g., Thomson and Estades 2012), and even fewer exist overall that look at survival during other critical life stages (e.g. juvenile survival). Ruiz-Gutierrez et al. (2012) suggest that this is largely due to overall low capture probabilities of Neotropical residents, small sample sizes due to the low number of mist nets (e.g., 10-15 nets) commonly used to sample what are often low-density bird communities, and inconsistencies between field protocols and requirements of capture-recapture models used to estimate population vital rates. Ruiz-Gutiérrez et al. (2012) provide guidelines for sampling designs that facilitate

the estimation of avian vital rates from banding, as part of long-term research projects as well as larger, coordinated banding efforts.

Evolution domain: The Darwinian shortfall

Darwinian shortfalls reflect a lack of knowledge about the evolutionary tree of life. Diniz-Filho et al. (2013) identified three aspects that contribute to this shortfall: (a) a lack of fully resolved phylogenies; (b) limited knowledge of edge lengths and problems with absolute time calibrations; and, (c) a lack of evolutionary models to link phylogenies to ecological traits and life-history variation. Attempts to reduce the impact of these knowledge gaps has to start with knowledge of the terminal tips of the phylogenies and understanding their topological relationships to the other tips. The tips may refer to species or subspecies, many of which, at a molecular level, may be insufficiently distinct to be called either phylogenetic species or ‘evolutionary significant units’ (Barrowclough et al. 2016). This is nominally the domain of the Linnaean shortfall – understanding how many species there are – and this basic taxonomic work is needed to define the biodiversity units of greatest interest to conservation biologists (Rojas-Soto et al. 2010).

In recent years, far more emphasis has been placed on clarifying species status for contentious taxa than investigating geographic variation within species that is critical for understanding evolutionary relationships. It has been argued that many avian subspecies are poorly supported and often arbitrarily demarcated subdivisions of geographic gradients in character variation (Zink 2004). The diagnoses for most subspecies have not been revisited in recent years and are typically weak, with only some poor qualitative descriptions of morphological characters without recourse to statistical analyses (Remsen 2005). For example, neither morphological (Handford 1985) nor mitochondrial phylogeographic structure analyses (Lougheed et al. 2013) support the subspecific taxonomy of the Rufous-

collared Sparrow (*Zonotrichia capensis*). Conversely, some poorly described subspecies eventually prove to represent undescribed or cryptic new species, once geographic variation (especially behavioural/vocal) within widespread taxa is better understood e.g. the Black-billed Thrush (*Turdus ignobilis*) complex (Cerqueira et al. 2016). Preliminary analyses indicate that others demand attention e.g. the Sooty-headed/Yungas Tyrannulet (*Phyllomyias griseiceps/weedeni*) complex (Harvey et al. 2014). Full genome analyses are challenging our concepts of how species should be defined, with some long-cherished biological species such as Blue-winged (*Vermivora cyanoptera*) and Golden-winged (*V. chrysoptera*) warblers shown to be minimally distinct (Toews et al. 2016), whilst deep and phylogenetically informative divisions have been uncovered in other species (e.g. Harvey & Brumfield 2015, Cadena et al. 2019, Fig 2c). The public availability of large databases such as GENBANK (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank>) has done much to facilitate this rapid rise in knowledge acquisition of the evolutionary relationships among birds.

Nevertheless, avian phylogenies are far more complete than for any other major taxonomic group. For example, there is now a complete global phylogeny available for all birds (Jetz et al. 2012), albeit with remaining uncertainties about positions of deep branches in the tree and with inductive inference, rather than measurement, guiding some genetic placements. New family-level phylogenies for Neotropical bird families are appearing on a regular basis (e.g., Derryberry et al. 2011, McGuire et al. 2014) catalysed by rapid progress in DNA sequence technology, bioinformatics, molecular genetics, and phylogeny reconstruction. In fact, since 1993, there has been an explosion of phylogenetic studies of Neotropical birds and a proliferation of molecular laboratories at universities and museums, including in several Neotropical countries facilitating major multi-taxon assessments (e.g., Silva et al. 2019). This explosion was made possible by the regular collection of tissue samples for genetic analysis as part of regular biodiversity collecting, as pioneered by Ted Parker and his colleagues

during the 1980s. Despite this overall progress in understanding evolutionary relationships in recent decades, the phylogenetics and historic biogeography of many diverse Neotropical species groups remain unresolved, hampering our ability to identify and conserve biodiversity hotspots of greatest evolutionary significance.

A community's phylogenetic diversity (after Faith 1992), calculated as the sum of branch lengths between root and tips on a phylogenetic tree, is becoming an increasingly established metric to assess biological integrity alongside taxonomic diversity (species richness) and functional diversity (incorporating species trait information). Integrating information on the phylogenetic positions of species provides information about the legacy of evolutionary processes (e.g., speciation) into conservation assessments (e.g., Edwards et al. 2015, Lees et al. 2016) and may add more conservation value to more evolutionary distinct species regarded as having greater irreplaceability (Fig 3a, b). There is growing evidence that increased phylogenetic diversity predicts some measures of enhanced ecosystem functioning (Cadotte, et al. 2012, Cadotte 2013), and, if this proves to be a general rule, then phylogenetic diversity might well provide a powerful tool for evidence-based conservation strategies given that collecting phylogenetic data is often considerably easier than collecting detailed trait data.

Functional ecology domain: The Raunkiæran shortfall

A lack of knowledge about species-specific traits and their ecological functions has been termed the Raunkiæran shortfall (Hortal et al., 2015) after Christen C. Raunkiær the Danish botanist. The last few years have seen several heavily populated global databases that describe birds in terms of their functional traits, rather than their taxonomic or phylogenetic affiliations. These databases have built on the phenomenal legacy of the first comprehensive

394 trait database of Neotropical birds assembled by Parker et al. (1996). Subsequent databases
395 have, for instance, covered fairly crude measures of body mass, diet, habitat, and foraging
396 stratum data (Wilman et al. 2014) at a global level, but finer-tuned datasets are now
397 becoming available extending to, for example, bill morphology of thousands of species
398 (Cooney et al. 2017). Trait datasets previously available for certain clades and regions have
399 now been published at global scales for almost all bird species, including morphological traits
400 linked to trophic niches (Pigot et al. 2020) and dispersal ability (Sheard et al. 2020) and work
401 on collecting data on plumage traits is ongoing
402 (<https://www.zooniverse.org/projects/ghthomas/project-plumage>). Functional trait-based
403 approaches are used in a wide range of applications in ecological and evolutionary research;
404 traits are viewed as phenotypic attributes affecting their fitness, that of other organisms, and
405 the ecosystems they inhabit (Violle et al. 2007). Quantitative trait values lend themselves to
406 easy comparisons between and among populations, species, and communities subject to
407 different environmental conditions.

408 Quantitative trait data have been used, for example, in studies of the loss of ecosystem
409 services such as seed dispersal and top-down control of herbivory (Bregman et al. 2016).
410 Such studies can then provide insight into the relative contribution of different species in
411 providing such services. In the latter case species that contributed most to network
412 organization were at higher risk of extinction. Use of species traits and deeper information on
413 how individual species interact with each other within an ecological network analysis
414 framework has shown particular promise in identifying the key role of particular bird species
415 in maintaining forest ‘health’ (e.g., Sebastian-Gonzalez et al. 2017), and what likely happens
416 when such species are lost. For example, Vidal et al. (2014) found that the species that
417 contributed most to plant–frugivore interaction network organization in an Atlantic Forest
418 system were at higher risk of extinction. The loss of such species has impacts that cascade to

communities, driving for example, rapid evolutionary changes in seed size (Galetti et al. 2013) with knock-on effects on other ecosystem services such as carbon storage (Bello et al. 2015).

This recent accumulation of knowledge of traits derived from specimen data suggests, at least for those species for which sufficient museum specimens exist, that we are making progress in making up this shortfall. However, a major characteristic of the Raunkiæran shortfall is that the traits that are typically measured are often the simplest, rather than the most functional (Hortal et al., 2015). There is an urgent need to use informative functional traits - those linked to species' tolerance of abiotic and biotic conditions or to the effects of species on ecosystems (Hortal et al., 2015). As such, more effort needs to be concentrated on behavioral, physiological, and life history traits (Kingsolver et al. 2001) instead of simply morphological ones.

Abiotic tolerance domain: The Hutchinsonian shortfall

The Hutchinsonian shortfall represents a lack of understanding of the responses and tolerances of species to varying abiotic conditions. Rosado et al. (2016) argued that this needs to be subdivided into the Grinnellian shortfall, which reflects a lack of knowledge about responses of species to a given environmental driver, and the true Hutchinsonian shortfall, reflecting uncertainty about the functional roles of species. Nuances aside, the shortfall is itself directly influenced by the Wallacean shortfall; in order to understand environmental tolerance we must first have a clear idea of where species are in time and space. If observations of any given taxon cover a representative sample of environmental gradients within their range, then data collection bias may not be too troubling for modelling efforts (Oliveira et al. 2016). Interpolated surfaces of predicted species distributions can be extremely important tools for seeking out relictual populations of rare species (Marini et al.

2010). However, consideration of recent habitat loss is important as current distributions for many species may reflect habitat availability in the Anthropocene that may be constrained given that humans tend to settle in biological hotspots (Cincotta et al. 2000). Some species may even be observed in suboptimal habitat types, which, if considered in isolation in a modelling framework, may result in misleading habitat suitability models and lead to perverse conservation decisions (Pulliam & Danielson 1991).

Understanding abiotic tolerance and the interaction between topography and climate will be crucial to predicting Neotropical bird responses to climate change coupled with other global change drivers, such as habitat loss, fragmentation and degradation, the invasion of exotic species, and parasites or pathogens that cause disease (Ehrlich & Pringle, 2008, Frishkoff et al. 2016). Threats from climate change to Neotropical birds are myriad and range from the collapse of montane climate envelopes as distributions are forced to move upslope (Sekercioglu et al. 2008, Freeman et al, 2018) to potential wholesale Amazonian die-back and switch to alternative stable ecosystem states (Malhi et al. 2008). Clearly, our ability to understand tolerances and threats is dependent on knowledge of species-specific physical and functional traits, highlighting a direct link between Raunkiaeran and Hutchinsonian shortfalls.

Work on understanding climate change responses has focussed on modelling expected changes in species-specific distributions (Elith & Leathwick, 2009) based on observed changes in species distributions under past or future climate change scenarios. These have often focussed on altitudinal range shifts (Forero-Medina et al. 2011, Freeman et al. 2018). Other studies have drawn attention to the role of slope, aspect and soil composition in mediating community composition (e.g., Cintra & Naka 2011) which in turn mediate microhabitats used by birds (Stratford and Stouffer 2015). SDMs have become a key tool for ecologists to build quantitative models of climate change impacts on the spatial distribution of individual species (Thuiller, 2003). Mokany and Ferrier (2011) made a case for the

development of semi-mechanistic models at the community level to model climate change impacts on biodiversity. Such a conceptual integrated modelling framework approach (Mokany et al. 2015) would retain the features of existing correlative community-level models to deal with shortfalls, while including mechanistic processes in predicting how diversity will change over time as environmental conditions vary.

Biotic interactions domain: The Eltonian shortfall

The Eltonian shortfall is arguably the widest of all of the biodiversity shortfalls. It encompasses the gaps in our knowledge of species' interactions and their effects on individual survival and fitness. The complexity of biotic interactions likely peaks in the humid tropics (Schemske et al. 2009), and the web of potential interactions that characterize hyperdiverse tropical biotas are legion. They obviously do not stop at just those between one bird species and the next but reflect the whole gamut of interactions between predators and prey, mutualisms, transmission of parasites and pathogens, and even ecosystem engineering. Bridging this shortfall by necessity requires knowledge of the basic ecology and natural history of Neotropical birds, highlighted in the next shortfall; one cannot understand species interactions and interdependence without this baseline knowledge. The slow drip of publication of papers on natural history and community dynamics is iteratively chipping away at the Eltonian shortfall. Exciting recent examples include the discovery that mixed species flocks change their habitat use when flock-leading *Thamnomanes* antshrikes are temporarily removed (Martínez et al. 2018) and the discovery that holes made by *Diglossa* flowerpiercers facilitate nectar access for hummingbirds which are also 'illegitimate' accessors of nectar resources (Gonzalez and Loiselle 2016).

Interactions between species have been shown to be highly sensitive to anthropogenic change. Neotropical birds exhibit some of the most complex social mutualisms known to science, including those observed between members of avian mixed-species flocks (Munn 1986)—interactions that may be highly sensitive to environmental change (Mokross et al. 2014). These changes must reach back further than recent land-use change with many interspecies interactions likely having been lost following the extinction loss of almost the entire Neotropical megafauna (Galetti et al. 2018). Large mammals and birds, for example, may be extremely important for ecosystem function, and loss of co-occurring biodiversity maintenance via trophic cascades and propagation of consumer impacts through food webs may lead to trophic downgrading (Svenning et al. 2016). This loss can be reversed by re-introducing key species in defaunated or restored forests, a process of trophic rewilding that is likely to become a key conservation tool in the tropics as well as the temperate zone (Galetti et al. 2017).

One of the most striking examples of species interactions of conservation concern involves the recent discovery that survival of the insular endemic Golden Lancehead (*Bothrops insularis*) snake relies on the seasonal arrival of its prey – migrant *Elaenia* flycatchers, drifted off course to the snake’s tiny island redoubt - the Ilha da Queimada Grande off the coast of SE Brazil (Marques, et al. 2012). At a broader scale, knowledge of the keystone role of army ants in Neotropical forests is nothing new, but only recently has the magnitude of these interactions started to become better documented—for instance over 300 species of animals are thought to be dependent on single army ant species: *Eciton burchellii* (Rettenmeyer et al. 2011). In the case of many bird species, this relationship amounts to parasitism of the ants, rather than the long-thought mutualism (Wrege et al. 2005). Knowing the critical importance of these interspecies interactions, both local and widespread, we must wonder at how many additional examples await discovery within Neotropical bird communities. Work on parasites

and their impacts on Neotropical bird populations, especially in the context of global change are even more limited but are now known to be potentially extremely important at least in insular systems (Bulgarella et al. 2018).

New analytical techniques and statistical frameworks are shedding light on interspecific behaviors and associations, and ways to estimate species interactions (Rota, 2016). Joint-species distribution models can now accommodate species traits and interactions and can include habitat-associations at multiple levels, including detection probability (Ovaskainen et al, 2019). This last point can be an important factor when the detection probability of species is influenced both by density-dependent call rates and by the presence of the other species.

Network analyses also offer a useful conceptual framework to understand the complexity of biological systems in providing metrics to assess the strengths of interactions at the species level (Bascompte et al. 2006). Understanding the consequences for communities of the gradual erosion of species from ecological networks is crucial to determine their resilience to environmental change. The existence of any thresholds, after which community collapses are precipitated, will be depend on both the degree of ecological redundancy for species within the system and the responses of keystone species to habitat loss (Guimarães et al. 2011).

Combining community-level data with life-history traits permits investigation of the role of inter-specific competition to be explored across environmental gradients (e.g. Bregman et al. 2015) to understand the consequences for ecosystem function.

Natural History domain: The new Parkerian shortfall

In addition to formal approaches to understanding physical and functional traits of species, a lack of basic natural history knowledge for most Neotropical bird species greatly impedes our ability to fill the Raunkiaerian and other shortfalls. We hereby term this specific knowledge gap the Parkerian shortfall. This shortfall reflects the fundamental importance of basic natural

540 history in underpinning our understanding of species' limits and phylogenetic relationships,
541 geographic distributions, and ecological requirements. During his relatively short career, Ted
542 Parker used his singular skills of observation and meticulous record-keeping to reveal how
543 behavioural and microhabitat specializations contribute to avian biodiversity (e.g., Remsen
544 and Parker 1983), as well as the relationship between foraging behaviour and habitat
545 selection in understanding a species' biogeography and phylogenetic position (Remsen and
546 Schulenberg 1997).

547 One example of how natural history studies, inspired by Ted Parker, led to cascading
548 knowledge gains in other domains began with the recognition that a diverse foraging guild of
549 species in several families were extreme specialists on aerial leaf litter in tropical forests
550 (Remsen and Parker 1984, Gradwohl and Greenberg 1984). Subsequent behavioral and
551 ecological studies of this guild (Rosenberg 1997) increased our knowledge of mixed-species
552 flock dynamics and generated a new hypothesis of relationships within the speciose antbird
553 genus *Myrmotherula* (Hackett and Rosenberg 1990), which eventually led to the recognition
554 of a distinct new genus (Isler et al. 2006). Similarly, careful attention to microhabitat
555 differences among similar species, first noted by Parker, led to discovery of major range
556 extensions among Amazonian bamboo specialists (Parker et al. 1997) and the recognition of
557 many species, cryptic or otherwise, that are restricted to white sand forests (Alonso and
558 Whitney 2003, Adeney et al. 2016). Finally, there are numerous cases in which understanding
559 the subtle variation in vocalizations among species across barriers or habitats has led to major
560 taxonomic and biogeographic revisions within several Neotropical families, including, for
561 example, antbirds (Isler et al. 1998), tapaculos (Krabbe and Schulenberg 1997, Cadena et al.
562 2020), and woodcreepers (Rodrigues et al. 2013).

563 A lack of knowledge of the foraging behaviour and diet of individual species continues to
564 impede our ability to understand ecological processes such as seed dispersal and pollination,

and to understand habitat requirements and the degree of threats from anthropogenic change with basic biological information lacking for many species (see gaps in the new Birds of the World platform <https://birdsoftheworld.org/>). For example, as of 1 May 2020, 110 Neotropical species were missing from the Macaulay Library archive, and 96 New World species missing from xeno-canto (hence their vocal behaviour is unknown or unavailable for study) and even basic nest descriptions are not listed for 328 of a sample of 1018 Neotropical species across nine families (Table 1). Continuing to populate these data resources will be a major step towards filling the Parkerian shortfall and providing the raw material for filling shortfalls in other domains.

Tackling the Parkerian shortfall requires greater valuation of basic natural history information (Bartholomew 1986, Cotterill and Foissner 2010). This valuation needs to extend not just to biodiversity inventories and taxonomy that fall in the broader natural history remit that we earlier champion, but also of careful quantitative and qualitative observations of the ecology of species that do not need to be hypothesis driven to be of merit. Such data has found champions in the Neotropics across the decades, natural historians like Helmet Sick, Alexander Skutch, and Edwin Willis, have paved the way for subsequent ornithologists by filling in the gaps about life histories so fundamental to blockbuster global analyses of avian traits. Of the contemporary cohort of field ornithologists, Harold Greeney stands out as an author of several hundred ornithological papers that detailed the breeding biology of over 500 Neotropical bird species (e.g., Greeney et al. 2004, 2007, 2013). New technologies such as camera traps and nest cams can help bridge this gap and further plug other shortfalls. The discovery of interspecific nesting associations involving Plumbeous Kites (*Ictinia plumbea*) and becards (*Pachyramphus* spp.) by Bodrati and Cockle (2017) is just one great example of such work.

Conclusions

590 Our review reveals that, despite progress in plugging knowledge gaps in Neotropical
591 ornithology, some shortfalls, such as the Eltonian domain of biotic interactions, may persist
592 for decades to come. Moving forward, one of the most important steps is to encourage,
593 support, and value both basic science and natural history descriptions of Neotropical birds.
594 The ‘pervasive denigration of natural history’ (*sensu* Cotterill and Foissner 2010) includes
595 both the failure to appreciate and support biodiversity inventories and the failure of
596 scientometrics to quantify the importance of taxonomic and natural history publications.
597 Instead, we challenge the scientific community to better fund and recognize the contributions
598 of ornithologists working to fill the shortfalls that we have highlighted. In addition, increased
599 attention should be directed towards building capacity and cultivating partnerships with local
600 scientists and universities in Neotropical countries to mobilize the capacity that is needed to
601 adequately fill the many knowledge gaps that still exist across the various shortfalls described
602 in this paper.

603 Another factor to consider is that interest in birds stretches far beyond professional
604 ornithologists and includes a diverse group of amateur ornithologists, birdwatchers,
605 naturalists, and outdoor recreationists. Therefore, prospects for addressing shortfalls are
606 better than for other taxonomic groups. For example, much of the progress of the last two
607 decades in filling in shortfalls have come from non-scientists, a large cohort of whom are bird
608 tour guides with exceptional field expertise. For example, the Red de Monitoreo Comunitario
609 de Aves in Mexico, led by NABCI and CONABIO, has trained over 660 members across 15
610 Mexican states, contributed over 26,300 complete eBird checklists, playing a significant role
611 in generating critical information on the distribution and abundance of Neotropical birds
612 (CONABIO 2020). Encouraging the growing legions of birders to contribute to citizen-
613 science “big data” databases and archives is essential. Regional programs such as WikiAves
614 and global ones like xeno-canto have been invaluable in capturing would-be citizen scientists

and archiving rich media specimens. While these individual efforts should be supported, there is also a growing need to connect across efforts such that data can effectively be combined and synthesized. The eBird enterprise (Sullivan et al. 2017) has become a benchmark in combining real-time information on distribution and abundance, with key data on natural history (e.g., breeding codes) and the ability to link field observations with specimen archives for photographs, video, and sound recordings. The continuing exponential growth of data submitted to this platform will undoubtedly reveal additional insights into species distributions, geographic variation, and behaviors that will lead to new taxonomic changes and knowledge of ecological relationships. Promoting eBird as a unified platform for natural history information on Neotropical birds could lead to major advances in filling knowledge shortfalls.

As knowledge is amassed and published in an ever-expanding number of data repositories and journals, we also must continue to synthesize information in standardized accounts, such as the Birds of the World platform. These accounts are vital for tracking the boundaries of our knowledge, and for inspiring new exploration and research to continue to fill knowledge shortfalls. Until recently many of the scientific studies within the Neotropics were driven by North Americans and Europeans visiting the Neotropical frontier. Fortunately, the past two decades has observed an increase in ornithological research at universities across the Neotropics, with dedicated lab groups and university programs equipped with modern field and lab methods driving progress forward. These networks are both expanding and recruiting a new generation of young ornithologists through the work of Professional organisations, NGOs and birding clubs. Funding and supporting all of these efforts and programs is essential.

Finally, we stand to gain much from new technologies and modelling applications to more quickly assimilate knowledge in all domains. As just one example, radio-tracking arrays (e.g.,

Motus) may prove to be an excellent investment for tracking both local bird movements and those at hemispheric scales, opening new frontiers in understanding dynamic avian distributions and ecological relationships (Gomez et al, 2018). Similarly, advances in genetic techniques and analyses are catalysts for rapid changes in our understanding of taxonomic relationships – within species as well as across newly recognized bird families. Our greatest progress in filling knowledge shortfalls will come from coordinating and synthesizing such advances in order to increase our understanding of Neotropical avian diversity, patterns of endemism, and especially threats that need to be addressed in conservation strategies. Even as our scientific knowledge of Neotropical birds advances, however, an additional shortfall looms—our ability to link the importance of birds for ecosystem functioning to issues of broader conservation concern, such as improving food security. This is crucial given that public support is fundamental in leveraging both the policy and human behavioural change that are necessary to reduce current extinction rates.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jeff Stratford and Kate Huyvaert for comments on the manuscript.

Data availability

This is not a data paper.

Literature cited

- Adeney, J. M., N. L. Christensen, A. Vicentini and M. Cohn-Haft (2016). White-sand Ecosystems in Amazonia. *Biotropica* 48:7–23.
- Agnarsson, I. and M. Kuntner (2007). Taxonomy in a changing world: seeking solutions for a science in crisis. *Systematic Biology* 56:531–539.

664 Alonso, J. A. and B. M. Whitney (2003). New distributional records of birds from white-sand
665 forests of the northern Peruvian Amazon, with implications for biogeography of northern
666 South America. *Condor* 105:552–566.

667 Anderson, R. P. 2012. Harnessing the world’s biodiversity data: promise and peril in
668 ecological niche modeling of species distributions. *Annals of the New York Academy of*
669 *Sciences* 1260:66–80.

670 Archaux, F., P. Henry, and O. Gimenez (2012). When can we ignore the problem of
671 imperfect detection in comparative studies? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:188–194.

672 Areta, J. I. and M. A. Juhant (2019). The Rufous-thighed Kite *Harpagus diodon* is not an
673 endemic breeder of the Atlantic Forest: lessons to assess Wallacean shortfalls. *Ibis* 161:337–
674 345.

675 Barrowclough, G. F., J. Cracraft, J. Klicka and R. M. Zink (2016). How many kinds of birds
676 are there and why does it matter? *PloS One* 11:0166307.

677 Bartholomew, G.A. (1986). The role of natural history in contemporary biology. *Bioscience*
678 36:324–329

679 Bascompte, J., P. Jordano and J. M. Olesen (2006). Asymmetric coevolutionary networks
680 facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science* 312:431–433

681 Bates, J. M. and T. C Demos (2001). Do we need to devalue Amazonia and other large
682 tropical forests? *Diversity and Distributions* 7:249–255.

683 Bayly N. J., K. V. Rosenberg, W. E. Easton, C. Gómez, J. Carlisle, D. Ewert, A. Drake and
684 L. Goodrich. (2018). Major stopover regions and migratory bottlenecks for Nearctic-
685 Neotropical landbirds within the Neotropics: a review. *Bird Conservation International* 28:1–
686 26.

687 Beason, J. P., C. Gunn, K. M. Potter, R. A. Sparks and J. W. Fox (2012). The Northern Black
688 Swift: migration path and wintering area revealed. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 124:1–8.

689 Bello, C., M. Galetti, M. A. Pizo, L. F. S. Magnago, M. F. Rocha, R. A. Lima, C. A. Peres, O.
690 Ovaskainen, and P. Jordano (2015). Defaunation affects carbon storage in tropical forests.
691 *Science Advances* 1:e1501105.

692 Bodrati, A. and K. L. Cockle (2017). Nest Predation and interspecific nesting associations
693 involving Plumbeous Kite (*Ictinia plumbea*) and Becards (*Pachyramphus* spp.). *Ornitología*
694 *Neotropical* 28:201–207.

695 Brawn, J. D., J. R. Karr, J. D. Nichols, and W. D. Robinson (1999). Demography of forest
696 birds in Panama: How do transients affect estimates of survival? Pages 297–305 *In*
697 *Proceedings 22nd International Ornithological Congress* (N. J. Adams and R. H. Slotow ,
698 Eds.). Bird Life South Africa, Johannesburg.

699 Bregman, T. P., A.C. Lees, N. Seddon, N., H. E. MacGregor, B. Darski, A. Aleixo, M. B.
700 Bonsall and J. A. Tobias (2015). Species interactions regulate the collapse of biodiversity and
701 ecosystem function in tropical forest fragments. *Ecology* 96:2692–2704.

702 Bregman, T. P., A.C. Lees, H. E. MacGregor, B. Darski, N. G. de Moura, A. Aleixo, J.
703 Barlow, J. and J. A. Tobias (2016). Using avian functional traits to assess the impact of land-
704 cover change on ecosystem processes linked to resilience in tropical forests. *Proceedings of*
705 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 283:20161289.

706 Buckland, S. T., S. J. Marsden, and R. E. Green (2008). Estimating bird abundance: making
707 methods work. *Bird Conservation International* 18:S91–S108.

708 Bulgarella, M., M.A. Quiroga, and G.E. Heimpel (2018). Additive negative effects of
 709 *Philornis* nest parasitism on small and declining Neotropical bird populations. Bird
 710 Conservation International 29:339–360.

711 Cadena, C. D., A. M. Cuervo, L. N. Céspedes, G. A. Bravo, N. Krabbe, T. S. Schulenberg, G.
 712 E. Derryberry, L. F. Silveira, E. P Derryberry, R. T. Brumfield and J. Fjeldså (2020).
 713 Systematics, biogeography and diversification of *Scytalopus* tapaculos (Rhinocryptidae), an
 714 enigmatic radiation of Neotropical montane birds. Auk 137:p.ukz077.

715 Cadotte, M. W. (2013). Experimental evidence that evolutionarily diverse assemblages result
 716 in higher productivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
 717 of America 110:8996–9000.

718 Cadotte, M. W., R. Dinnage and D. Tilman (2012). Phylogenetic diversity promotes
 719 ecosystem stability. Ecology 93:S223–S233

720 Cardoso, P., T. L. Erwin, P. A. Borges and T. R. New (2011). The seven impediments in
 721 invertebrate conservation and how to overcome them. Biological Conservation 144:2647–55.

722 Cerqueira, P. V., M. P. D. Santos and A. Aleixo (2016). Phylogeography, inter-specific limits
 723 and diversification of *Turdus ignobilis* (Aves: Turdidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and
 724 Evolution 97:177–186.

725 Cincotta, R. P., J. Wisniewski and R. Engelman (2000). Human population in the biodiversity
 726 hotspots. Nature 404:990–992.

727 Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad – CONABIO (2020).
 728 Informe de actividades 2017-2019. CONABIO.
 729 <http://bioteca.biodiversidad.gob.mx/janium/Documentos/15197.pdf>

730 Cooney, C. R., J. A. Bright, E. J. Capp, A. M. Chira, E. C. Hughes, C. J. Moody, L. O. Nouri,
 731 Z. K. Varley and G. H. Thomas (2017). Mega-evolutionary dynamics of the adaptive
 732 radiation of birds. *Nature* 542:344–347.

733 Cottee-Jones, H. E. W., T. J. Matthews and R. J., Whittaker (2016). The movement shortfall
 734 in bird conservation: accounting for nomadic, dispersive and irruptive species. *Animal*
 735 *Conservation* 19:227–234.

736 Cotterill, F. P. and W. Foissner (2010). A pervasive denigration of natural history
 737 misconstrues how biodiversity inventories and taxonomy underpin scientific knowledge.
 738 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19:291–303

739 Delord, K., G. Roudaut, C. Guinet, C. Barbraud, S. Bertrand and H. Weimerskirch (2015).
 740 Kite aerial photography: a low-cost method for monitoring seabird colonies. *Journal of Field*
 741 *Ornithology* 86:173–179.

742 Dénes, F. V., J. L. Tella and S. R. Beissinger (2018). Revisiting methods for estimating parrot
 743 abundance and population size. *Emu-Austral Ornithology* 118:67–79.

744 Derryberry, E. P., S. Claramunt, S., G. Derryberry, R. T. Chesser, J. Cracraft, A. Aleixo, J.
 745 Pérez-Emán, J. V. Remsen Jr, and R. T. Brumfield (2011). Lineage diversification and
 746 morphological evolution in a large-scale continental radiation: the Neotropical ovenbirds and
 747 woodcreepers (Aves: Furnariidae). *Evolution* 65:2973–2986.

748 Diamond, J. (1980). Patchy distributions of tropical birds. In *Conservation biology: an*
 749 *evolutionary-ecological perspective* (M. E. Soule and B. A. Wilcox, Editors). Sinauer
 750 Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA. pp 57–74.

751 Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., R. D. Loyola, P. Raia, A. O. Mooers and L. M. Bini (2013). Darwinian
 752 shortfalls in biodiversity conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28:689–695.

753 Dornas, T., R. T. Pinheiro, A. G. Corrêa, A. D. Prado, E. S. Ferreira and R. S. Vieira (2014).
 754 Novos registros e implicações sobre a ocorrência de *Celeus obrieni*, pica-pau-do-parnaíba no
 755 cerrado norte e amazônia maranhense. *Ornithologia* 7:21–22.

756 Edwards, D. P., J. J. Gilroy, G. H. Thomas, C. A. M Uribe and T. Haugaasen (2015). Land-
 757 sparing agriculture best protects avian phylogenetic diversity. *Current Biology* 25:2384–
 758 2391.

759 Ehrlich, P. R. and R. M. Pringle (2008). Where does biodiversity go from here? A grim
 760 business-as-usual forecast and a hopeful portfolio of partial solutions. *Proceedings of the*
 761 *National Academy of Sciences USA* 105:11579–11586.

762 Elith, J. and J. R. Leathwick (2009) Species distribution models: ecological explanation and
 763 prediction across space and time. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*
 764 40:677–697.

765 Engler, J. O., D. Stiels, K. Schidelko, D. Strubbe, P. Quillfeldt and M. Brambilla (2017).
 766 Avian SDMs: current state, challenges, and opportunities. *Journal of Avian Biology* 48:
 767 1483–1504.

768 Faith, D. P. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological*
 769 *Conservation* 61:1–10.

770 Fink, D., W. M. Hochachka, B. Zuckerberg, D. W. Winkler, B. Shaby, M. A. Munson, G. J.
 771 Hooker, M. Riedewald, D. Sheldon and S. Kelling (2010). Spatiotemporal exploratory
 772 models for broad-scale survey data. *Ecological Applications* 20:2131–2147.

773 Fink, D., T. Auer, A. Johnston, V. Ruiz-Gutierrez, W. M. Hochachka and S. Kelling (2020a).
 774 Modeling Avian Full Annual Cycle Distribution and Population Trends with Citizen Science
 775 Data. *Ecological Applications* <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2056>

776 Fink, D., T. Auer, A. Johnston, M. Strimas-Mackey, O. Robinson, S. Ligocki, B. Petersen, C.
 777 Wood, I. Davies, B. Sullivan, M. Iliff, S. Kelling. 2020b. eBird Status and Trends, Data
 778 Version: 2018; Released: 2020. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York.
 779 <https://doi.org/10.2173/ebirdst.2018>

780 Forero-Medina, G., J. Terborgh, S. J. Socolar and S. L. Pimm (2011). Elevational ranges of
 781 birds on a tropical montane gradient lag behind warming temperatures. *PloS One* 6:28535.

782 Fraser, K.C., B. J. Stutchbury, P. Kramer, C. Silverio, J. Barrow, D. Newstead, N. Mickle, T.
 783 Shaheen, P. Mammenga, K. Applegate and E. Bridge (2013). Consistent range-wide pattern
 784 in fall migration strategy of purple martin (*Progne subis*), despite different migration routes
 785 at the Gulf of Mexico. *Auk* 130:291–296.

786 Freeman, B.G., M. N. Scholer, V. Ruiz-Gutierrez and J. W. Fitzpatrick (2018). Climate
 787 change causes upslope shifts and mountaintop extirpations in a tropical bird community.
 788 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115:11982–11987.

789 Fretwell, P. T., P. Scofield and R. A. Phillips (2017). Using super-high resolution satellite
 790 imagery to census threatened albatrosses. *Ibis* 159:481–490.

791 Frishkoff, L.O., D. S. Karp, J. R. Flanders, J. Zook, E. A. Hadly, G. C. Daily and L. K.
 792 M'Gonigle (2016). Climate change and habitat conversion favour the same species. *Ecology*
 793 *Letters*, 19:1081–1090.

794 Galetti, M., R. Guevara, M. C. Côrtes, R. Fadini, S. Von Matter, A. B. Leite, F. Labecca, T.
 795 Ribeiro, C. S. Carvalho, R. G. Collevatti and M. M. Pires (2013). Functional extinction of
 796 birds drives rapid evolutionary changes in seed size. *Science* 340:1086–1090.

797 Galetti, M., A. S. Pires, P. H. Brancalion and F. A. Fernandez (2017). Reversing defaunation
 798 by trophic rewilding in empty forests. *Biotropica* 49:5-8.

799 Galetti, M., M. Moleón, P. Jordano, M. M. Pires, P. R. Guimaraes, T. Pape, E. Nichols, D.
800 Hansen, J. M. Olesen, M. Munk and J. S. de Mattos (2018). Ecological and evolutionary
801 legacy of megafauna extinctions. *Biological Reviews* 93:845–862.

802 Gomez, J. P., S. K. Robinson, J. K. Blackburn J. M. and Ponciano (2018). An efficient
803 extension of N-mixture models for multi-species abundance estimation. *Methods in Ecology*
804 *and Evolution* 9:340–353.

805 Gonzalez, O. and B.A. Loiselle (2016). Species interactions in an Andean bird–flowering
806 plant network: phenology is more important than abundance or morphology. *PeerJ* 4:e2789.

807 Gradwohl, J. A. and R. Greenberg (1984). Search behavior of the checker-throated antwren
808 foraging in aerial leaf litter. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 15:281–285.

809 Greeney, H. F., R. A. Gelis, and R. White (2004). Notes on breeding birds from an
810 Ecuadorian lowland forest. *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists’ Club* 124:28–37.

811 Greeney, H. F. and R. A. Gelis (2007). Breeding records from the north-east Andean foothills
812 of Ecuador. *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists’ Club* 127:236–241.

813 Greeney, H. F., C. Sánchez, J. E. Sánchez and E. Carman (2013). A review of nest and egg
814 descriptions for the genus *Myrmeciza*, with the first description of nests and eggs of the dull-
815 mantled antbird (*M. laemosticta*). *Journal of Ornithology* 154:1049–1056.

816 Guillera-Aroita, G. (2017). Modeling of species distributions, range dynamics and
817 communities under imperfect detection: advances, challenges and opportunities. *Ecography*
818 40:281–295.

819 Guimarães, P. R., P. Jordano and J. N. Thompson (2011) Evolution and coevolution in
820 mutualistic networks. *Ecology Letters* 14:877–885.

821 Hackett, S.J. and K. V. Rosenberg (1990). Comparison of phenotypic and genetic
822 differentiation in South American antwrens (Formicariidae). *Auk* 107:473–489.

823 Harvey, M. G., D.F. Lane, J. Hite, R. S. Terrill, S.F. Ramírez, B. T. Smith, J. Klicka and W.
824 V. Campos (2014). Notes on bird species in bamboo in northern Madre de Dios, Peru,
825 including the first Peruvian record of Acre Tody-Tyrant (*Hemitriccus cohnhafti*). *Occasional*
826 *Papers of the Museum of Natural Science, Louisiana State University* 81:1–37

827 Handford, P. (1985). Morphological relationships among subspecies of the rufous-collared
828 sparrow, *Zonotrichia capensis*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 63: 2383–2388.

829 Harrison, P., M. Sallaberry, C. P. Gaskin, K. A. Baird, A. Jaramillo, S. M. Metz, M. Pearman,
830 M., M. O'Keeffe, J. Dowdall, S. Enright and K. Fahy (2013). A new storm-petrel species
831 from Chile. *Auk* 130:180–191.

832 Harvey, M. G. and R. T. Brumfield (2015). Genomic variation in a widespread Neotropical
833 bird (*Xenops minutus*) reveals divergence, population expansion, and gene flow. *Molecular*
834 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 83:305–316.

835 Hazevoet, C. J. (1996). Conservation and species lists: taxonomic neglect promotes the
836 extinction of endemic birds, as exemplified by taxa from eastern Atlantic islands. *Bird*
837 *Conservation International* 6:181–196.

838 Hodgson, J. C., R. Mott, S. M. Baylis, T T. Pham, S. Wotherspoon, A. D. Kilpatrick, R. R.
839 Segaran, I. Reid, A. Terauds and L. P. Koh (2017). Drones count wildlife more accurately
840 and precisely than humans. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 9:1160–1167.

841 Hortal, J., F. de Bello, J. A. F. Diniz-Filho, T. M. Lewinsohn, J. M. Lobo and R. J. Ladle
842 (2015). Seven shortfalls that beset large-scale knowledge of biodiversity. *Annual Review of*
843 *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 46:523–549.

844 Isler, M. L., P. R. Isler and B. M. Whitney (1998). Use of vocalizations to establish species
845 limits in antbirds (Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae). *Auk* 115:577–590.

846 Isler, M. L., D. R. Lacerda, P. R. Isler, S. J. Hackett, K. V. Rosenberg and R. T. Brumfield
847 (2006). *Epinecrophylla*, a new genus of antwrens (Aves: Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae).
848 *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 119:522–527.

849 Jetz, W., G. H. Thomas, J. B. Joy, K. Hartmann and A. O. Mooers (2012). The global
850 diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature* 491:444–448.

851 Johnston, A., T. Auer, D. Fink, M. Strimas-Mackey, M. Iiff, K. V. Rosenberg, S. Brown, R.
852 Lanctot, A. Rodewald and S. Kelling (2019). Performance of abundance distributions and
853 range maps in spatial conservation planning for migratory species. *Ecological Applications*
854 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2058>

855 Kattan, G. H., H. Alvarez-López and M. Giraldo (1994). Forest fragmentation and bird
856 extinctions: San Antonio eighty years later. *Conservation Biology* 8:138–146.

857 Kikuchi, D.W., G. H. Kattan and K. C. Navarro Vélez (2019). A continent-scale test of
858 multiple hypotheses on the abundances of Neotropical birds. *Oikos* 128:235–244.

859 Kingsolver, J. G., H. E. Hoekstra, J. M. Hoekstra, D. Berrigan, S. N. Vignieri, C. E. Hill, A.
860 Hoang, P. Gibert, P. Beerl (2001). The strength of phenotypic selection in natural
861 populations. *American Naturalist* 157:245–61.

862 Klein, S. R., N. W. Daudt and L. Bugoni (2012) Bulwer’s Petrel *Bulweria bulwerii* in
863 Brazilian waters. *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club* 132:214–26.

864 Krabbe, N. and T. S. Schulenberg (1997). Species limits and natural history of *Scytalopus*
865 tapaculos (Rhinocryptidae), with descriptions of the Ecuadorian taxa, including three new

866 species. In *Studies in Neotropical Ornithology Honoring Ted Parker* (J. V. Remsen, Jr., Ed.).
 867 Ornithological Monographs, no. 48. pp 47-88.

868 Leach, E. C., C. J. Burwell, L. A. Ashton, D. N. Jones, and R. L. Kitching (2016).
 869 Comparison of point counts and automated acoustic monitoring: detecting birds in a
 870 rainforest biodiversity survey. *Emu* 116:305–309.

871 Lees, A.C. (2016). Evidence for longitudinal migration by a “sedentary” Brazilian flycatcher,
 872 the Ash-throated Casiornis. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 87:251–259.

873 Lees, A. C. and S. L. Pimm (2015). Species, extinct before we know them? *Current Biology*
 874 25:R177–R180.

875 Lees, A. C. and R. W. Martin (2015). Exposing hidden endemism in a Neotropical forest
 876 raptor using citizen science. *Ibis* 157:103–114.

877 Lees, A. C. and N.G. Moura (2017). Taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional diversity of an
 878 urban Amazonian avifauna. *Urban Ecosystems* 20:1019–1025.

879 Lees, A. C., F. Olmos, A. Campos (2015). Here be gadflies: pelagic birding off north-east
 880 Brazil. *Neotropical Birding* 17:11–18.

881 Leite, G. A., R. T. Pinheiro, D. G. Marcelino, J. E. C. Figueira and J. H. C. Delabie (2013).
 882 Foraging behavior of Kaempfer's Woodpecker (*Celeus obrieni*), a bamboo specialist. *Condor*
 883 115:221–229.

884 Lomolino, M. V. (2004) Conservation biogeography. In *Frontiers of Biogeography: new*
 885 *directions in the geography of nature* (M. V. Lomolino and L. R. Heaney, editors). Sinauer
 886 Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts. pp. 293–296.

887 Loughheed, S. C., L. Campagna, J. A. Dávila, P. L. Tubaro, D. A. Lijtmaer and P. Handford
 888 (2013). Continental phylogeography of an ecologically and morphologically diverse
 889 Neotropical songbird, *Zonotrichia capensis*. BMC Evolutionary Biology 13:58.

890 Malhi, Y., J. T. Roberts, R. A. Betts, T. J. Killeen, W. Li and C. A. Nobre (2008). Climate
 891 change, deforestation, and the fate of the Amazon. Science 319:169-172.

892 Marini, M. Â., M. Barbet-Massin, L. E. Lopes and F. Jiguet (2010). Predicting the occurrence
 893 of rare Brazilian birds with species distribution models. Journal of Ornithology 151:857–866.

894 Martínez, A.E., E. Parra, O. Muellerklein, and V. T. Vredenburg (2018). Fear-based niche
 895 shifts in neotropical birds. Ecology 99:1338–1346.

896 Mayr, E. (1942). Systematics and the origin of species, from the viewpoint of a zoologist.
 897 Harvard University Press.

898 Mayr, E. (1963). Animal species and evolution. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass

899 Mazar-Barnett, J. and D. R. C. Buzzetti (2014). A new species of *Cichlocolaptes*
 900 Reichenbach 1853 (Furnariidae), the ‘gritadordo-nordeste’, an undescribed trace of the fading
 901 bird life of northeastern Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 22:75–94.

902 McGuire, J. A., C. C. Witt, J. V. Remsen Jr, A. Corl D. L. Rabosky, D. L. Altshuler and R.
 903 Dudley (2014). Molecular phylogenetics and the diversification of hummingbirds. Current
 904 Biology 24:910–916.

905 Mokany, K. and S. Ferrier (2011). Predicting impacts of climate change on biodiversity: A
 906 role for semi-mechanistic community-level modelling. Diversity and Distributions 17:374–
 907 380.

908 Mokany, K., S. Ferrier, S. R. Connolly, P. K. Dunstan, E. A. Fulton, M. B. Harfoot, T. D.
 909 Harwood, A. J. Richardson, S. H. Roxburgh, J. P. Scharlemann, and D. P. Tittensor (2016).
 910 Integrating modelling of biodiversity composition and ecosystem function. *Oikos* 125:10–19.
 911 Mokross, K., T. B. Ryder, , M. C. Côrtes, , J. D. Wolfe, and P. C. Stouffer,. (2014). Decay of
 912 interspecific avian flock networks along a disturbance gradient in Amazonia. *Proceedings of*
 913 *the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 281:20132599.
 914 Moura, N. G., A. C. Lees, C. B. Andretti, B. J. Davis, R. R. Solar, A. Aleixo, J. Barlow, J.,
 915 Ferreira and T. A. Gardner (2013). Avian biodiversity in multiple-use landscapes of the
 916 Brazilian Amazon. *Biological Conservation* 167:339348.
 917 Moura, N. G., A. C. Lees, A. Aleixo, J. Barlow, S. M. Dantas, J. Ferreira, J., M. D. F. C.
 918 Lima and T. A. Gardner (2014). Two hundred years of local avian extinctions in Eastern
 919 Amazonia. *Conservation Biology* 28:1271–1281.
 920 Mora, C., Tittensor, D.P., Adl, S., Simpson, A.G. and Worm, B., 2011. How many species
 921 are there on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biology*, 9:1001127.
 922 Navarro-Sigüenza, A. G. and A. T. Peterson (2004). An alternative species taxonomy of the
 923 birds of Mexico. *Biota Neotropica* 4:1–32.
 924 Oliveira, U., A. P. Paglia, A. D. Brescovit, C. J. Carvalho, D. P. Silva, D. T. Rezende, F. S. F.
 925 Leite, J. A. N. Batista, J. P. P. P. Barbosa, J. R. Stehmann and J. S. Ascher (2016). The strong
 926 influence of collection bias on biodiversity knowledge shortfalls of Brazilian terrestrial
 927 biodiversity. *Diversity and Distributions*, 22:1232–1244.
 928 Orihuela-Torres, A., B. Tinoco, L. Ordonez-Delgado and C. I. Espinosa (2020). Knowledge
 929 Gaps or Change of Distribution Ranges? Explaining New Records of Birds in the Ecuadorian
 930 Tumbesian Region of Endemism. *Diversity* 12:66 doi:10.3390/d12020066

- 931 Parker, T. A. (1991). On the use of tape recorders in avifaunal surveys. *Auk* 108:443–444.
- 932 Parker T. A. and JV Remsen Jr. (1987). Fifty-two Amazonian bird species new to Bolivia.
- 933 *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club* 107:94–107.
- 934 Parker, T. A., III, D. F. Stotz and J. W. Fitzpatrick (1996). Ecological and distributional
- 935 databases. In *Neotropical birds: ecology and conservation* (D. F. Stotz, J. W. Fitzpatrick, T.
- 936 A. Parker III and D. K. Moskovits, editors). University of Chicago Press, Chicago. pp 113–
- 937 436
- 938 Parker, T. A., III, D. F. Stotz and J. W. Fitzpatrick (1997). Notes on avian bamboo specialists
- 939 in southwestern Amazonian Brazil. In *Studies in Neotropical Ornithology Honoring Ted*
- 940 *Parker* (J. V. Remsen, Jr., Ed.). *Ornithological Monographs*, no. 48. pp 543–547.
- 941 Pereira, G. A., S. D. M. Dantas, L. F. S. Silveira, S. A. Roda, C. Albano, F. A. Sonntag, S.
- 942 Leal, M. C. Periquito, G. B. Malacco and A. C. Lees (2014). Status of the globally threatened
- 943 forest birds of northeast Brazil. *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia* (São Paulo) 54:177–194.
- 944 Perlut, N. G., T. C. Klak and E. Rakhimberdiev (2017). Geolocator Data Reveal the
- 945 Migration Route and Wintering Location of a Caribbean Martin (*Progne dominicensis*). *The*
- 946 *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 129:605–610.
- 947 Peterson, A.T. and A. G. Navarro-Sigüenza (1999). Alternate species concepts as bases for
- 948 determining priority conservation areas. *Conservation Biology* 13:427–431.
- 949 Pigot, A. L., C. Sheard, E. T. Miller, T. Bregman, B. Freeman, U. Roll, N. Seddon, C. H.
- 950 Trisos, B. Weeks, J. A. Tobias (2020). Macroevolutionary convergence connects
- 951 morphological form to ecological function in birds. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 4:230–239.
- 952 Portes, C.E.B., A. Aleixo, K. J. Zimmer, A. Whittaker, J. D. Weckstein, L. P. Gonzaga, C. C.
- 953 Ribas, J. M. Bates and A. C. Lees (2013). A new species of *Campylorhamphus* (Aves:

954 Dendrocolaptidae) from the Tapajós-Xingu interfluvium in Amazonian Brazil. In Handbook of
 955 the Birds of the World. Special Volume: New Species and Global Index (Andrew Elliott, J
 956 Sargatal, D. A. Christie, J del Hoyo editors). Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain. pp.258-262.
 957 Priyadarshani, N., Marsland, S. and Castro, I. (2018). Automated birdsong recognition in
 958 complex acoustic environments: a review. Journal of Avian Biology 49 doi:
 959 10.1111/jav.01447
 960 Pulliam, H. R. and B. J. Danielson (1991). Sources, sinks, and habitat selection: a landscape
 961 perspective on population dynamics. The American Naturalist 137:S50–S66.
 962 Ramírez, I., V. H. Paiva, D. Menezes, I. Silva, R. A. Phillips, J. A. Ramos and S. Garthe
 963 (2013). Year-round distribution and habitat preferences of the Bugio petrel. Marine Ecology
 964 Progress Series 476:269–284.
 965 Reed, D. H., J. J. O'Grady, B. W. Brook, J. D. Ballou and R. Frankham (2003). Estimates of
 966 minimum viable population sizes for vertebrates and factors influencing those estimates.
 967 Biological Conservation 113:23–34.
 968 Remsen, JV Jr. (2005). Pattern, process, and rigor meet classification. Auk 122:403–413.
 969 Remsen, JV Jr. and T. A. Parker (1983). Contribution of river-created habitats to bird species
 970 richness in Amazonia. Biotropica 15:223–231.
 971 Remsen, JV Jr. and T. A. Parker (1984). Arboreal dead-leaf-searching birds of the
 972 Neotropics. Condor 86:36–41.
 973 Remsen Jr, J.V. and T. S. Schulenberg (1997). The pervasive influence of Ted Parker on
 974 Neotropical field ornithology. In Studies in Neotropical Ornithology Honoring Ted Parker (J.
 975 V. Remsen, Jr., Ed.). Ornithological Monographs 48. pp 7–19

976 Rettenmeyer, C.W., M. E. Rettenmeyer, J. Joseph and S. M. Berghoff (2011). The largest
 977 animal association centered on one species: the army ant *Eciton burchellii* and its more than
 978 300 associates. *Insectes Sociaux* 58:281–292.

979 Robinson, W.D., A. C. Lees and J. G. Blake (2018). Surveying tropical birds is much harder
 980 than you think: a primer of best practices. *Biotropica* 50:846–849.

981 Robinson, O. J., V. Ruiz-Gutierrez and D. Fink (2018b). Correcting for bias in distribution
 982 modelling for rare species using citizen science data. *Diversity and Distributions* 24:460–472.

983 Robinson, W. D. and J. R. Curtis (2020). Creating benchmark measurements of tropical
 984 forest bird communities in large plots. *Condor* <https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/duaa015>

985 Rojas-Soto, O. R., A. G. Navarro-Sigüenza and A. E. De Los Monteros (2010). Systematics
 986 and bird conservation policies: the importance of species limits. *Bird Conservation*
 987 *International* 20:176–185.

988 Rosado, B. H., M. S. Figueiredo, E. A. Mattos, and C. E. Grelle 2016. Eltonian shortfall due
 989 to the Grinnellian view: functional ecology between the mismatch of niche concepts.
 990 *Ecography* 39:1034–1041.

991 Rosenberg K. H. (1997). Ecology of dead-leaf foraging specialists and their contribution to
 992 Amazonian diversity. In *Studies in Neotropical Ornithology Honoring Ted Parker* (J. V.
 993 Remsen, Jr., Ed.). *Ornithological Monographs* 48. pp 7–19

994 Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., P. F. Doherty Jr, S. C. Martínez, J. Schondube, H. V. Munguía and E.
 995 Iñigo-Elias (2012). Survival of resident Neotropical birds: considerations for sampling and
 996 analysis based on 20 years of bird-banding efforts in Mexico. *Auk*, 129:500–509.

997 Ruiz-Gutierrez, V., J. R. Calderon Parra, H. Berlanga, and V. Rodriguez Contreras (2018).
 998 PROALAS: Programa de America Latina para Aves Silvestres. CONABIO. 35pp.

999 Sangster, G. (2014). The application of species criteria in avian taxonomy and its
 1000 implications for the debate over species concepts. *Biological Reviews* 89:199–214.

1001 Sekercioglu, C.H., S. H. Schneider, J. P. Fay, and S. R. Loarie (2008). Climate change,
 1002 elevational range shifts, and bird extinctions. *Conservation Biology* 22:140–150.

1003 Schemske, D.W., G. G. Mittelbach, H. V. Cornell, J. M. Sobel and K. Roy (2009). Is there a
 1004 latitudinal gradient in the importance of biotic interactions? *Annual Review of Ecology,*
 1005 *Evolution, and Systematics* 40:245–269.

1006 Scheffers, B. R., L. N. Joppa, S. L. Pimm and W. F. Laurance (2012). What we know and
 1007 don't know about Earth's missing biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 27:501–510.

1008 Sheard, C., M. H. C. Neate-Clegg, N. Alioravainen, S. E. I. Jones, C. Vincent, H. E. A.
 1009 MacGregor, T. P. Bregman, S. Claramunt, J. A. Tobias (2020) Ecological drivers of global
 1010 gradients in avian dispersal inferred from wing morphology. *Nature Communications* (in
 1011 press) <https://doi.org/10.1101/816603>

1012 Silva, S. M., A. T. Peterson, L. Carneiro, T. C. T. Burlamaqui, C. C. Ribas, T. Sousa-Neves,
 1013 L. S. Miranda, A. M. Fernandes, F. M. d'Horta, L. E. Araújo-Silva, R. Batista, C. H. M. M.
 1014 Bandeira, S. M. Dantas, M. Ferreira, D. M. Martins, J. Oliveira, T. C. Rocha, C. H. Sardelli,
 1015 G. Thom, P. S. Rêgo, M. P. Santos, F. Sequeira, M. Vallinoto and A. Aleixo (2019). A
 1016 dynamic continental moisture gradient drove Amazonian bird diversification. *Science*
 1017 *Advances* 5:eaat5752

1018 Stratford, J. A. and P. C. Stouffer (2015). Forest fragmentation alters microhabitat availability
 1019 for Neotropical terrestrial insectivorous birds. *Biological Conservation* 188:109–115.

1020 Svenning, J. C., P. B. Pedersen, C. J. Donlan, R. Ejrnæs, S. Faurby, M. Galetti, D. M.
 1021 Hansen, B. Sandel, C. J. Sandom, J. W. Terborgh and F. W. Vera (2016). *Science for a wilder*

1022 Anthropocene: Synthesis and future directions for trophic rewilding research. Proceedings of
 1023 the National Academy of Sciences 113:898–906.

1024 Thomson, R. F. and C. F. Estades (2012). Survival rates of forest passerines in south-central
 1025 Chile. *Ornitologia Neotropical* 23:1–9.

1026 Thuiller, W. (2003) BIOMOD – optimizing predictions of species distributions and
 1027 projecting potential future shifts under global change. *Global Change Biology* 9:1353–1362.

1028 Tobias, J. A., N. Seddon, C. N. Spottiswoode, J. D. Pilgrim, L. D. Fishpool and N. J. Collar,
 1029 N.J. (2010). Quantitative criteria for species delimitation. *Ibis*, 152:724–746.

1030 Toews, D. P., S. A. Taylor, R. Vallender, A. Brelsford, B. G. Butcher, P. W. Messer and I. J.
 1031 Lovette, I.J. (2016). Plumage genes and little else distinguish the genomes of hybridizing
 1032 warblers. *Current Biology* 26:2313–2318.

1033 VanDerWal, J., L. P. Shoo, C. N. Johnson and S. E. Williams (2009). Abundance and the
 1034 Environmental Niche: Environmental Suitability Estimated from Niche Models Predicts the
 1035 Upper Limit of Local Abundance. *The American Naturalist* 174:282–291.

1036 Vidal, M. M., E. Hasui, M. A. Pizo, J. Y. Tamashiro, W. R. Silva and P. R. Guimarães Jr,
 1037 (2014). Frugivores at higher risk of extinction are the key elements of a mutualistic network.
 1038 *Ecology* 95:3440–3447.

1039 Violle, C., M. L. Navas, D. Vile, E. Kazakou, C. Fortunel, I. Hummel, and E. Garnier (2007).
 1040 Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, 116:882–892.

1041 Weber, M. M., R. D. Stevens, J. A. F. Diniz-Filho and C. E. V. Grelle (2017). Is there a
 1042 correlation between abundance and environmental suitability derived from ecological niche
 1043 modelling? A meta-analysis. *Ecography* 40: 817–828.

1044 Whitney, B. M. and M. Cohn-Haft (2013). Fifteen new species of Amazonian birds. In
1045 Handbook of the Birds of the World. Special Volume: New Species and Global Index
1046 (Andrew Elliott, J Sargatal, D. A. Christie, J del Hoyo editors). Lynx Edicions, Barcelona,
1047 Spain. pp.225–239.

1048 Wilman, H., J. Belmaker, J. Simpson, C. de la Rosa, M. M. Rivadeneira and W. Jetz (2014).
1049 EltonTraits 1.0: Species-level foraging attributes of the world's birds and mammals. Ecology
1050 95:2027–2027.

1051 Wrege, P. H., M. Wikelski, J. T. Mandel, T. Rassweiler and I. D. Couzin (2005). Antbirds
1052 parasitize foraging army ants. Ecology 86:555–559.

1053 Zachos, F. E. (2013). Taxonomy: Species splitting puts conservation at risk. Nature 494:35.

1054 Zink, R. M. (2004). The role of subspecies in obscuring avian biological diversity and
1055 misleading conservation policy. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological
1056 Sciences, 271:561–564.

1057 Zino, F., R. Phillips and M. Biscoito (2011). Zino's Petrel movements at sea—a preliminary
1058 analysis of datalogger results. Birding World 24:216–219.

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

Table 1. Lack of data on nest descriptions among Neotropical bird species as an example of the Parkerian shortfall in ornithological knowledge. Data collated from a random sample of 1067 Neotropical species across nine families species accounts in Birds of the World (<https://birdsoftheworld.org>).

Family	Neotropical breeding species	Nest undescribed
Cracidae	54	8 (15%)
Odontophoridae	29	8 (28%)
Columbidae	70	13 (19%)
Trochilidae	337	102 (30%)
Rallidae	51	9 (18%)
Accipitridae	61	6 (10%)
Falconidae	26	6 (23%)
Psittacidae	156	42 (27%)
Thamnophilidae	234	134 (43%)

Figure 1. Linnaean shortfalls may persist in areas that are remarkably well surveyed: a) an undescribed *Myornis* pygmy-tyrant which had evaded detection in historically well inventoried areas of north-east Brazil (Ciro Albano). Google Earth imagery has proven to be vital in planning surveys to plug Linnaean and Wallacean shortfalls in addition to many other conservation applications. Image b) depicts a view of the Cordillera Azul in Peru, a pre-Andean range that has been the scene of several new species discoveries in recent years, the process of finding suitable habitat is now greatly facilitated by open access high quality satellite data (imagery ©Google Earth) and c) Kaempfer's Woodpecker *Celeus obrieni* which was rediscovered in north-eastern Brazil in 2006 after 80 years as an enigma, it is now known to occupy a huge 861,000 km² range and suggests that even striking species may elude detection at sub-continental scales if they have high habitat specificity (A. C. Lees).

Figure 2. a) Orange-bellied Antwren *Terenura sicki* a Critically Endangered endemic of northeast Brazil which is rare enough that all individuals within the population could easily be surveyed (A. C. Lees), b) seasonally-averaged estimated relative abundance map for Fork-tailed Flycatcher *Tyrannus savanna* (Fink et al. 2020b) derived from eBird data and a suite of environmental variables (*Tyrannus* illustration by Ian Lewington, used with permission from Birds of the World) and c) Bayesian tree showing phylogenetic relationships within the Tropical Andes clade of *Scytalopus* tapaculos revealing populations which likely merit additional study to clarify their taxonomic status (Cadena et al. 2020).

Figure 3 a) Specimens of scythebills in the *Campylorhamphus procurvoides* complex (A. C. Lees) used in the description of a new Amazonian taxon – *cardosoi* (Portes et al. 2013); museum specimens like these can provide a wealth of morphological data and b) genetic data – here Mark Adams takes a toe-pad sample from a specimen of Variegated Antpitta *Grallaria varia* at the Natural History Museum at Tring (A. C. Lees). The widespread online availability of abiotic data facilitates modelling work that may result in significant

1103 distributional discoveries here, c) the relationship between rainfall and the seasonal
1104 distribution of the Ash-throated Casiornis *Casiornis fuscus* found by Lees (2015) to be a
1105 partial longitudinal migrant to Amazonia during the dry season (*Casiornis* illustration by
1106 Hilary Burn used with permission from Birds of the World).

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129



Figure 1.




Figure 2.



Figure 3.